

Your ballot will include only those issues which pertain to your residential address.

OFFICIAL BALLOT GENERAL ELECTION TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2000

SAMPLE

County Clerk & Recorder
Larimer County, Colorado

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:

To vote for the candidate(s) of your choice, completely fill in the OVAL to the left of the candidate(s) name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write in the name of the candidate in the space provided and fill in the OVAL to the left of the write-in line. If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, return it to an election judge to get another. VOTE LIKE THIS

*Ballot issues referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot issues initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a 'no' vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances. C.R.S. 1-40-115(2)

| FEDERAL OFFICES | REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO - AT LARGE (Vote for One) | LARIMER COUNTY OFFICES |
|--|--|---|
| PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT (Vote for One Pair) <input type="radio"/> George W. Bush & Dick Cheney Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Al Gore & Joe Lieberman Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> Harry Browne & Art Olivier Libertarian Party <input type="radio"/> John Hagelin & Nat Goldhaber Natural Law Party <input type="radio"/> Ralph Nader & Winona LaDuke Green Party <input type="radio"/> Howard Phillips & J. Curtis Frazier American Constitution Party <input type="radio"/> Pat Buchanan & Ezola Foster Freedom Party <input type="radio"/> Earl F. Dodge & W. Dean Watkins Prohibition Party <input type="radio"/> James Harris & Margaret Trowe Socialist Workers Campaign <input type="radio"/> David McReynolds & Mary Cal Hollis Socialist Party, USA | <input type="radio"/> Pete Steinhauer Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Burke Bau Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> Jennifer Holton Natural Law Party STATE SENATE - DISTRICT 14 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Steve Tool Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Peggy Reeves Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> Wayne L. Bowliby Libertarian Party | COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 2 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Debby Morgan Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> Tom Bender Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Keturah McMillin Natural Law Party COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 3 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Glenn Gibson Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Vinton Robert Morey Libertarian Party |
| REPRESENTATIVE TO THE 107TH UNITED STATES CONGRESS - DISTRICT 4 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Bob Schaffer Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Dan Sewell Ward Natural Law Party <input type="radio"/> Kordon L. Baker Libertarian Party <input type="radio"/> Leslie J. Henke American Constitution Party <input type="radio"/> _____ | STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 49 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Steve Johnson Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Robert J. Brooks Libertarian Party STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 51 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Timothy S. Fritz Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Ann P. Haroun Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> Brenda Blesell-Morey Libertarian Party | JUDICIARY JUSTICE OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT (Vote Yes or No) Shall Justice Michael L. Bender of the Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO |
| STATE OF COLORADO OFFICES SECRETARY OF STATE (VACANCY - 2-YEAR TERM) (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Donetta Davidson Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Anthony Martinez Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> Clyde J. Harkins American Constitution Party <input type="radio"/> Cheryl A. Beckett Natural Law Party <input type="radio"/> Johanna C. Falila Libertarian Party <input type="radio"/> Patricia Baker Reform Party | STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 52 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Bryan Jameson Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> John Clarke Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Alberto Squassabia Libertarian Party STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 53 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> William (Bill) M. Benton Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Bob Bacon Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> Clifford P. Ham Libertarian Party | JUSTICE OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT (Vote Yes or No) Shall Justice Alex J. Martinez of the Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO |
| MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION - AT LARGE (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Ben L. Alexander Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Jared Polla Democratic Party <input type="radio"/> Thomas D. Groover Natural Law Party | DISTRICT ATTORNEY - 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Stu VanMeveren Republican Party | JUSTICE OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT (Vote Yes or No) Shall Justice Mary J. Mullarkey of the Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO |
| MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION - 4TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Clair B. Orr Republican Party <input type="radio"/> Yolanda (Lolile) Sandoval Democratic Party | | JUSTICE OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT (Vote Yes or No) Shall Justice Nancy E. Rice of the Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO |
| | | COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE (Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg of the Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| <p align="center">COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Edwin G. Ruland of the Court of Appeals be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">AMENDMENT 21</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution establishing a \$25 tax cut to lower each 2001 state and local tax in each tax bill for each utility customer and occupation tax and franchise charge, vehicle sales, use, and ownership tax, income tax, property tax, income and property tax equal to yearly revenue from sales and use taxes on food and drink other than tobacco and alcohol, and income tax equal to yearly revenue from estate taxes, and, in connection therewith, increasing the tax cut \$25 yearly; specifying that the tax cuts and state replacement of local revenue shall not lower state or local excess revenue; allowing the state to limit local acts increasing replacement costs; and providing that attorney fees and costs shall always be paid to successful plaintiffs only?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">AMENDMENT 24</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution concerning the management of development, and, in connection therewith, specifying that local governments, unless otherwise excepted, shall approve development only within areas committed to development or within future growth areas in accordance with voter-approved growth area maps, requiring such local governments to delineate areas committed to development, requiring local governments proposing a future growth area to submit a growth area map to a vote at a regular election, specifying the content of growth impact disclosures to be distributed to voters in connection with such elections, and specifying the type of allowed action or development within growth areas, committed areas, or outside such areas?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <p align="center">COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge JoAnn L. Vogt of the Court of Appeals be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">AMENDMENT 22</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a requirement that background checks be conducted on prospective firearms transferees if any part of the transaction occurs at a gun show, and in connection therewith, directing that a gun show vendor require a background check on a prospective transferee and obtain approval of the transfer from the Colorado Bureau of Investigation; defining a "gun show vendor" as any person who exhibits, offers for sale, or transfers a firearm at a gun show; requiring gun show promoters to arrange for the services of federally licensed gun dealers to obtain background checks at gun shows; prohibiting the transfer of a firearm if a background check has not been obtained by a federally licensed gun dealer; requiring record keeping and retention by federally licensed gun dealers who obtain background checks; permitting federally licensed gun dealers to charge a fee of up to ten dollars for conducting each background check at gun shows; requiring gun show promoters to prominently post notice of the background check requirement; establishing criminal penalties for violations of these requirements; exempting transfers of certain antique firearms, relics, and curios from the background check requirement; and requiring the appropriation of funds necessary to implement the measure?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">AMENDMENT 25</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning the requirement that any woman who is considering an abortion give voluntary, informed consent prior to the abortion, and, in connection therewith, defining several pertinent terms so that "abortion" includes termination of a known pregnancy at any time after conception, specifying the information a physician must provide to insure that a woman's consent to an abortion is voluntary and informed, requiring a physician, except in emergency cases, to provide the specified information to the woman at least twenty-four hours prior to performing an abortion, requiring the department of public health and environment to provide specified informational materials for women who are considering abortions, establishing procedures for emergency situations, requiring physicians to annually report specified information, requiring the department of public health and environment to annually publish a compilation of the physician reports, and providing for the administration and enforcement of the amendment's provisions?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <p align="center">DISTRICT JUDGE - 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge James H. Hiatt of the District Court be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">AMENDMENT 23</p> <p>SHALL THERE BE AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION CONCERNING INCREASED FUNDING FOR PRESCHOOL THROUGH TWELFTH-GRADE PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, REQUIRING THE STATEWIDE BASE PER PUPIL FUNDING FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION AND FUNDING FOR SPECIFICALLY DEFINED CATEGORICAL PROGRAMS TO GROW ANNUALLY BY AT LEAST THE RATE OF INFLATION PLUS ONE PERCENTAGE POINT FOR FISCAL YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2010-11 AND ANNUALLY BY AT LEAST THE RATE OF INFLATION FOR FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER, CREATING A STATE EDUCATION FUND AND EXEMPTING APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE FUND AND EXPENDITURES OF SAID APPROPRIATIONS FROM CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS; REQUIRING THE STATE TO DEPOSIT IN THE STATE EDUCATION FUND ALL REVENUES COLLECTED BY THE STATE FROM A TAX OF ONE-THIRD OF ONE PERCENT ON FEDERAL TAXABLE INCOME OF EVERY INDIVIDUAL, ESTATE, TRUST, AND CORPORATION AND EXEMPTING THOSE REVENUES FROM THE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATION ON FISCAL YEAR SPENDING; LIMITING THE USE OF MONEYS IN THE STATE EDUCATION FUND TO INCREASING THE STATEWIDE BASE PER PUPIL FUNDING FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION AND FUNDING FOR CATEGORICAL PROGRAMS AND TO FUNDING SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS, INCLUDING PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION; SPECIFYING THAT MONEYS APPROPRIATED FROM THE STATE EDUCATION FUND SHALL NOT BE USED TO SUPPLANT THE LEVEL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS EXISTING ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE MEASURE FOR TOTAL PROGRAM EDUCATION AND CATEGORICAL PROGRAM FUNDING; AND, FOR FISCAL YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2010-11, REQUIRING THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO INCREASE ANNUALLY THE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION FOR TOTAL PROGRAM FUNDING UNDER THE "PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE ACT OF 1994," OR ANY SUCCESSOR ACT, BY AT LEAST FIVE PERCENT OF THE PRIOR YEAR'S GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION FOR TOTAL PROGRAM FUNDING, EXCEPT IN FISCAL YEARS IN WHICH PERSONAL INCOME GROWS LESS THAN FOUR AND ONE-HALF PERCENT BETWEEN THE TWO PREVIOUS CALENDAR YEARS?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">REFERENDUM A</p> <p>AN AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE X OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, ESTABLISHING A HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION FOR A SPECIFIED PERCENTAGE OF A LIMITED AMOUNT OF THE ACTUAL VALUE OF OWNER-OCCUPIED RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY THAT IS THE PRIMARY RESIDENCE OF AN OWNER-OCCUPIER WHO IS SIXTY-FIVE YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER AND HAS RESIDED IN SUCH PROPERTY FOR TEN YEARS OR LONGER, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, ALLOWING THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY BY LAW TO ADJUST THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF ACTUAL VALUE OF SUCH RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY OF WHICH SUCH SPECIFIED PERCENTAGE SHALL BE EXEMPT, REQUIRING THE AGGREGATE STATEWIDE VALUATION FOR ASSESSMENT THAT IS ATTRIBUTABLE TO RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY TO BE CALCULATED AS IF THE FULL ACTUAL VALUE OF ALL OWNER-OCCUPIED PRIMARY RESIDENCES THAT ARE PARTIALLY EXEMPT FROM TAXATION WAS SUBJECT TO TAXATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING THE TENNENIA ADJUSTMENT TO BE MADE TO THE RATIO OF VALUATION FOR ASSESSMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY, REQUIRING THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO COMPENSATE LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES FOR THE NET AMOUNT OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES LOST AS A RESULT OF THE HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION, SPECIFYING THAT SAID COMPENSATION SHALL NOT BE INCLUDED IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL YEAR SPENDING, AUTHORIZING A PERMANENT INCREASE IN STATE FISCAL YEAR SPENDING TO DEFRAY THE COST TO THE STATE OF SAID COMPENSATION, AND SPECIFYING THAT SAID COMPENSATION SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO ANY STATUTORY LIMITATION ON GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <p align="center">COUNTY JUDGE - LARIMER (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Christine A. Carney of the County Court be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">AMENDMENT 20</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution authorizing the medical use of marijuana for persons suffering from debilitating medical conditions, and, in connection therewith, establishing an affirmative defense to Colorado criminal laws for patients and their primary care-givers relating to the medical use of marijuana; establishing exceptions to Colorado criminal laws for patients and primary care-givers in lawful possession of a registry identification card for medical marijuana use and for physicians who advise patients or provide them with written documentation as to such medical marijuana use; defining "debilitating medical condition" and authorizing the state health agency to approve other medical conditions or treatments as debilitating medical conditions; requiring preservation of seized property interests that had been possessed, owned, or used in connection with a claimed medical use of marijuana and limiting forfeiture of such interests; establishing and maintaining a confidential state registry of patients receiving an identification card for the medical use of marijuana and defining eligibility for receipt of such a card and placement on the registry; restricting access to information in the registry; establishing procedures for issuance of an identification card; authorizing fees to cover administrative costs associated with the registry; specifying the form and amount of marijuana a patient may possess and restrictions on its use; setting forth additional requirements for the medical use of marijuana by patients less than eighteen years old; directing enactment of implementing legislation and criminal penalties for certain offenses; requiring the state health agency designated by the governor to make application forms available to residents of Colorado for inclusion on the registry; limiting a health insurer's liability on claims relating to the medical use of marijuana; and providing that no employer must accommodate medical use of marijuana in the workplace?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">REFERENDUM B</p> <p>An amendment to Section 48 of Article V of the Constitution of the State of Colorado, concerning the timetable for adoption of a redistricting plan for the general assembly.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <p align="center">COUNTY JUDGE - LARIMER (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Ronald L. Schultz of the County Court be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">REFERENDUM C</p> <p>An amendment to Section 8 of Article XIV of the Constitution of the State of Colorado, which requires the selection of county surveyors by election, to also allow the appointment of county surveyors.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | |

OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2000

SAMPLE

County Clerk & Recorder
Larimer County, Colorado

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:

To vote for the candidate(s) of your choice, completely fill in the OVAL to the left of the candidate(s) name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write in the name of the candidate in the space provided and fill in the OVAL to the left of the write-in line. If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, return it to an election judge to get another. VOTE LIKE THIS

*Ballot issues referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot issues initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'Yes' vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a 'No' vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances. * C.R.S. 1-40-115(2)

| STATE OF COLORADO | CITY OF FORT COLLINS | REFERRED ISSUE 2B |
|---|---|--|
| REFERENDUM D An amendment to the Constitution of the State of Colorado, concerning the repeal of outdated provisions of the state constitution resulting from obsolescence and applicability to particular events or circumstances that have already occurred. <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | BALLOT ISSUE 2A PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1 Shall Article IX, Section 2 and Article X, Section 5 of the Charter of the City of Fort Collins, pertaining to petition circulators, be amended to eliminate the requirement that the circulators of initiative, referendum or recall petitions be registered electors of the city (which amendment is made necessary by a recent decision of the United States Supreme Court that prohibits such a requirement) and should these sections be further amended to require that the circulators of said petitions be at least 15 years of age? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | QUESTION NO. 2: Increase in City tax by the establishment of a lodging tax. SHALL CITY OF LOVELAND TAXES BE INCREASED \$200,000 ANNUALLY (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO SUPPORT THE LOVELAND VISITOR CENTER TO PROMOTE TOURISM, CONVENTIONS AND OTHER ACTIVITIES WHICH ATTRACT PERSONS UTILIZING PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS IN THE CITY AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENCOURAGING THE DEVELOPMENT AND ENHANCEMENT OF CULTURAL PROGRAMMING ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE CITY BY ESTABLISHING A LODGING TAX OF THREE PERCENT ON THE LODGING PRICE PAID FOR THE LEASING, RENTAL, OR FURNISHING OF ANY LODGING ACCOMMODATION LOCATED IN THE CITY, AND SHALL THE FULL PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES BE COLLECTED AND SPENT DURING 2001 AND SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION, AND WITHOUT LIMITING THE COLLECTION OR SPENDING OF ANY OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS BY THE CITY OF LOVELAND UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO |
| REFERENDUM E SHALL THE COLORADO LOTTERY COMMISSION BE AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO MULTI-STATE AGREEMENTS ALLOWING COLORADO RESIDENTS TO PLAY MULTI-STATE LOTTERY GAMES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, TRANSFERRING A PORTION OF THE NET PROCEEDS FROM ALL LOTTERY PROGRAMS, INCLUDING MULTI-STATE LOTTERY GAMES, FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE STATE PUBLIC SCHOOL FUND AS A CONTINGENCY RESERVE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS FOR CAPITAL EXPENDITURES TO ADDRESS IMMEDIATE HEALTH AND SAFETY CONCERNS WITHIN EXISTING SCHOOL FACILITIES EXEMPT FROM ANY RESTRICTION ON SPENDING, REVENUES, OR APPROPRIATIONS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE RESTRICTIONS OF SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | BALLOT ISSUE 2B PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 2 Shall the residency requirement contained in Article IV, Section 3 of the Charter be amended so as to eliminate the requirement that division heads of the City live in the Urban Growth Area, with the understanding that the City Manager would still be required to live within the City limits and the deputy and assistant city managers, plus the directors of all major administrative units who are directly responsible to the City Manager, would still be required to live in the Urban Growth Area? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | INITIATED ISSUE 200 QUESTION NO. 4: Citizen initiated ordinance establishing a 2% limit on the number of building permits issued by the City of Loveland for new residential development. Shall the citizen initiated ordinance limiting the number of building permits issued by the City of Loveland for the construction of new residential dwelling units to two percent (2%) of the existing housing base each year, commencing in 2001; and establishing exceptions to the limitations for permanently affordable housing, multi-family housing and residential care facilities be adopted? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO |
| REFERENDUM F SHALL THE STATE OF COLORADO BE PERMITTED TO ANNUALLY RETAIN UP TO FIFTY MILLION DOLLARS OF THE STATE REVENUES IN EXCESS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATION ON STATE FISCAL YEAR SPENDING FOR THE 1999-2000 FISCAL YEAR AND FOR FOUR SUCCEEDING FISCAL YEARS FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING PERFORMANCE GRANTS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO IMPROVE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE, NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RESTRICTION ON SPENDING, REVENUES, OR APPROPRIATIONS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION THE RESTRICTIONS OF SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION AND THE STATUTORY LIMITATION ON STATE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | REFERRED ISSUE 2A QUESTION NO. 1: Increase in City tax by establishing a development excise tax on residential development. ESTABLISHING A DEVELOPMENT EXCISE TAX ON RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SHALL CITY OF LOVELAND TAXES BE INCREASED BY UP TO ONE MILLION SIX HUNDRED FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,605,000) ANNUALLY (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) IN ORDER TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR TRANSPORTATION CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BY: 1. PERMITTING THE CITY COUNCIL TO ESTABLISH A DEVELOPMENT EXCISE TAX ON RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, AS DEFINED BY CITY COUNCIL; AND 2. PERMITTING THE CITY COUNCIL TO ESTABLISH, AND, FROM TIME TO TIME, TO CHANGE, THE PROCEDURES BY WHICH AND THE TIMES AT WHICH THE DEVELOPMENT EXCISE TAX IS ASSESSED AND COLLECTED; AND 3. PERMITTING THE CITY COUNCIL TO WAIVE OR REDUCE THE DEVELOPMENT EXCISE TAX FROM TIME TO TIME IN ORDER TO ASSIST IN THE PROVISION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND FACILITIES SERVING THE GENERAL PUBLIC; AND 4. PERMITTING THE CITY COUNCIL TO SET THE CITY'S DEVELOPMENT EXCISE TAX ON ALL RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, EXCEPT FOR ADDITIONS WHICH DO NOT ESTABLISH AN ADDITIONAL DWELLING UNIT, WHICH RECEIVES A BUILDING PERMIT ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 2001, AT \$0.92 PER SQUARE FOOT OF FINISHED FLOOR AREA, IN 2001, AND UP TO A MAXIMUM OF \$1.56 PER SQUARE FOOT OF FINISHED FLOOR AREA, AND WITH INCREASES LIMITED BY THE CUMULATIVE INCREASE IN THE ENGINEERING NEWS RECORD CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX FOR DENVER, COLORADO FROM JANUARY 1, 2001. AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH SHALL THE FULL PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX AT SUCH RATES AND ANY EARNINGS THEREFROM BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION, AND WITHOUT LIMITING THE COLLECTION OR SPENDING OF ANY OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS BY THE CITY OF LOVELAND, UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | REFERRED ISSUE 2E QUESTION NO. 6: Amending Section 8-1(c) of the Charter of the City of Loveland, Colorado to provide that the City Manager shall become a resident of the City or of the Community Influence Area within six months of appointment. Shall Section 8-1(c) of the Charter of the City of Loveland be amended to provide that the City Manager shall become a resident of the City or the Community Influence Area within six months of appointment, which section shall read as follows: *SECTION 8-1 - APPOINTMENT, QUALIFICATIONS, EVALUATION AND REMOVAL (c) The City Manager shall become a resident of the City or the Community Influence Area as defined in the Comprehensive Plan adopted by the City Council on May 2, 2000, as amended from time to time, within six (6) months of appointment, and shall remain a resident of such area throughout the Manager's appointment?*" <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO |
| LARIMER COUNTY REFERRED ISSUE 1A SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED TWO MILLION FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,450,000.00) IN THE FIRST FISCAL YEAR AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER IN SUCH AMOUNTS AS ARE RECEIVED EACH YEAR BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL ONE MILL LEVY TO THE EXISTING LARIMER COUNTY MILL LEVY UPON TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY WITHIN LARIMER COUNTY, COMMENCING WITH TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2001, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER IN PERPETUITY, SUCH REVENUE TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING SERVICES AND SUPPORTS TO PERSONS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, AND SHALL SUCH REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND, IF APPLICABLE, EXCEED THE 5.5% TAX REVENUE GROWTH LIMITATION CONTAINED IN SECTION 29-1-301 COLORADO REVISED STATUTES AND ANY OTHER TAX REVENUE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN THE LAWS OF THE STATE? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO | | |


| | | |
|--|--|---|
| <div>TOWN OF ESTES PARK</div> <div>REFERRED MEASURE 2A</div> <p>SHALL THE TOWN OF ESTES PARK, COLORADO, INCLUDING THE ESTES PARK URBAN RENEWAL AUTHORITY, BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND RETAIN ALL OF THE REVENUE IN EXCESS OF THE REVENUE LIMITATIONS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION FROM SALES AND USE TAXES (WITHOUT ANY INCREASE IN SALES AND USE TAX RATES) AND ALL OTHER REVENUES OF THE TOWN, AND TO SPEND ALL OF SUCH REVENUES BY TRANSFERRING SAID REVENUES INTO THE COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE ACQUISITION, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR AND REPLACEMENT OF CAPITAL PROJECTS, INCLUDING EVENTS/RECREATION FACILITIES, OPEN SPACE, SIDEWALKS, TRAILS, LANDSCAPING, STREET AND PARKING LOT CONSTRUCTION, STORM DRAINAGE, AND MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES?</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> | <div>REFERRED BALLOT ISSUE 3B</div> <p>SHALL Poudre SCHOOL DISTRICT R-1 DEBT BE INCREASED BY NOT MORE THAN \$175,000,000 IN PRINCIPAL AMOUNT, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF NOT MORE THAN \$420,909,200 TOTAL PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO FINANCE AND/OR REFINANCE THE COST OF PURCHASING SCHOOL BUILDINGS OR GROUNDS, ENLARGING, IMPROVING, REMODELING OR REPAIRING SCHOOL BUILDINGS; CONSTRUCTING SCHOOL BUILDINGS; EQUIPPING OR FURNISHING SCHOOL BUILDINGS, BUT ONLY IN CONJUNCTION WITH NEW SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION OR SUBSTANTIAL REMODELING, IMPROVING OR ENLARGING OF EXISTING BUILDINGS; OR IMPROVING SCHOOL GROUNDS, SUCH BONDS TO BECOME DUE AND PAYABLE WITHIN 20 YEARS OF THE DATE OR RESPECTIVE DATES OF SUCH BONDS, TO BEAR INTEREST AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT EXCEEDING 8% PER ANNUM AND TO BE CALLABLE FOR REDEMPTION PRIOR TO MATURITY, WITH OR WITHOUT A PREMIUM, AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION, AND SHALL Poudre SCHOOL DISTRICT R-1 PROPERTY TAXES BE INCREASED BY AN ESTIMATED \$16,190,600 FOR THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR AND ANNUALLY WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT IN EACH YEAR AFTER TAKING INTO ACCOUNT ANY CONSTITUTIONALLY OR STATUTORILY REQUIRED TAX CUTS ESTABLISHED CONCURRENTLY WITH OR ENACTED SUBSEQUENTLY TO THE SUBMISSION OF THIS BALLOT QUESTION) TO PAY PRINCIPAL, INTEREST AND PREMIUM, IF ANY, ON SUCH BONDS, AND SHALL Poudre SCHOOL DISTRICT R-1 BE AUTHORIZED TO BORROW TO RECEIVE AN EXPEND PROPERTY TAXES, OTHER LEGALLY AVAILABLE FUNDS AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON IN AMOUNTS EQUAL TO SUCH PRINCIPAL, INTEREST AND PREMIUM FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE SAME, NOTWITHSTANDING ANY APPLICABLE LIMITATION ON REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES, INCLUDING THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> | <div>BERTHOUD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT</div> <div>REFERRED ISSUE 4A</div> <p>Debt for Fire Protection Purposes:</p> <p>SHALL BERTHOUD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED \$2,055,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST \$3,955,585; AND SHALL BERTHOUD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$298,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S DEBT: SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS ISSUED OR INCURRED FOR THE PURPOSES OF:</p> <p>IMPROVING THE LEVEL OF FIRE PROTECTION IN THE DISTRICT, AND</p> <p>REDUCING RESPONSE TIME FOR EMERGENCY CALLS TO ALL AREAS OF THE DISTRICT,</p> <p>BY CONSTRUCTING A SECOND FIRE STATION,</p> <p>EQUIPPING THE SECOND STATION WITH EMERGENCY APPARATUS AND ALL OTHER EQUIPMENT NECESSARY, AND INSTALLING ADDITIONAL FIRE HYDRANTS THROUGHOUT PORTIONS OF THE DISTRICT</p> <p>AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING, REIMBURSING, OR FINANCING ALL OR ANY PART OF THE COSTS OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, INSTALLING, COMPLETING, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING, WITHIN OR WITHOUT THE BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT, OTHER NECESSARY FACILITIES AND IMPROVEMENTS</p> <p>SUCH DEBT TO BEAR INTEREST AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT IN EXCESS OF 7.25% PER ANNUM, SUCH INTEREST TO BE PAYABLE AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT TO BE ISSUED OR INCURRED AT ONE TIME OR FROM TIME TO TIME, TO BE PAID FROM ANY LEGALLY AVAILABLE MONIES OF THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING THE PROCEEDS OF AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED ON ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, WITHOUT LIMITATIONS OF RATE AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE SET FORTH ABOVE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO BE USED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF ANY SUCH DEBT AND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, ANY OTHER REVENUE USED TO PAY SUCH DEBT, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON, BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> |
| <div>TOWN OF BERTHOUD</div> <div>INITIATED ISSUE 200</div> <p>Shall the issuance of building permits in the Town of Berthoud for the construction of new residential dwelling units be limited to 2-5% of the total number of residential dwelling units on December 31, 2000 and for each year thereafter?</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> | <div>POUDRE SCHOOL DISTRICT R-1</div> <div>REFERRED BALLOT ISSUE 3A</div> <p>SHALL Poudre SCHOOL DISTRICT R-1 PROPERTY TAXES BE INCREASED \$10,000,000 FOR THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR AND THE SAME AMOUNT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER (IN EACH YEAR AFTER TAKING INTO ACCOUNT ANY CONSTITUTIONALLY OR STATUTORILY REQUIRED TAX CUTS ESTABLISHED CONCURRENTLY WITH OR ENACTED SUBSEQUENTLY TO THE SUBMISSION OF THIS BALLOT QUESTION) BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE MILL LEVY OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED BY STATE STATUTE FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND AT A RATE SUFFICIENT IN EACH YEAR TO GENERATE SAID AMOUNT FOR SUCH PURPOSES AS ONGOING EXPENSES FOR ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONAL STAFFING, CLASS SIZE RELIEF, LITERACY INSTRUCTION, ALL DAY KINDERGARTEN AND EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS, CURRICULUM AND OTHER PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT, STAFF DEVELOPMENT, MAJOR REMODELING, UPGRADING AND REPAIR OF FLOORING, ROOFING, SCHOOL GROUNDS AND PARKING AREA PAVING, TRAFFIC SAFETY, SCHOOL BUS AND OTHER VEHICLE ACQUISITION, COMPUTER HARDWARE ACQUISITION, COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIP PROGRAMS, EMPLOYEE INCENTIVES AND SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION AND ADDITIONS, AND SHALL Poudre SCHOOL DISTRICT R-1 BE AUTHORIZED TO RECEIVE AND EXPEND PROPERTY TAXES AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS THEREON IN AMOUNTS EQUAL TO SUCH EXPENSES FOR SUCH PURPOSES, NOTWITHSTANDING ANY APPLICABLE LIMITATION ON REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES, INCLUDING THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> | <div>THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R-2-J</div> <div>REFERRED ISSUE 3A</div> <p>"WITHOUT INCREASING TAX RATES OR IMPOSING ANY NEW TAX, SHALL THE THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. R2-J BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM ANY SOURCE RECEIVED IN FISCAL YEAR 1999-2000 AND EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, THAT ARE IN EXCESS OF ANY REVENUE-RAISING, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATION IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?"</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> |
| | <div>PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3</div> <div>REFERRED ISSUE 3A</div> <p>AMENDMENT 1, DE-BRUCING QUESTION</p> <p>WITHOUT IMPOSING ANY NEW TAXES AND WITHOUT INCREASING ITS PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY, SHALL PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3 BE AUTHORIZED TO RETAIN AND SPEND, AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, ALL REVENUES RECEIVED FROM ANY SOURCE DURING FISCAL YEAR 1998-1999 AND EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER THAT ARE IN EXCESS OF THE FISCAL YEAR SPENDING LIMITS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> | <div>REFERRED ISSUE 4B</div> <p>Operations Tax Increase:</p> <p>SHALL BERTHOUD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$575,000.00 ANNUALLY, COMMENCING IN 2001, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY A MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 6 MILLS,</p> <p>THE PROCEEDS THEREOF TO BE USED TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES,</p> <p>SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED ON TAXABLE PROPERTY OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2001 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> |
| | <div>WINDSOR SEVERANCE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT</div> <div>REFERRED ISSUE 4A</div> <p>BALLOT QUESTION ONE (INCREASED MILL LEVY):</p> <p>SHALL THE WINDSOR-SEVERANCE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$394,000 AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY A MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 2 MILLS, COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2000 FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2001, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER, FOR THE PURPOSES OF BUILDING FACILITIES, PURCHASING EMERGENCY EQUIPMENT, AND HIRING CAREER STAFF, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</p> <div><input type="radio"/> YES</div> <div><input type="radio"/> NO</div> | |

**OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2000**

SAMPLE

**County Clerk & Recorder
Larimer County, Colorado**

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:

To vote for the candidate(s) of your choice, completely fill in the OVAL to the left of the candidate(s) name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write in the name of the candidate in the space provided and fill in the OVAL to the left of the write-in line. If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, return it to an election judge to get another. **VOTE LIKE THIS** 

Ballot issues referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot issues initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a 'no' vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances. C.R.S. 1-46-115(2)

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p style="text-align: center;">BERTHOUD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">LIN MAR REFERRED ISSUE 5A</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY DEBT BE INCREASED BY THE AMOUNT OF \$325,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$812,500 AND SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED \$812,500 ANNUALLY OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE AFOREMENTIONED DEBT, BY IMPOSING SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS UPON PROPERTY IN THE COUNTY'S LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 2000-2 (LIN MAR SUBDIVISION), WHICH ASSESSMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO PREPAYMENT AT THE OPTION OF THE PROPERTY OWNER; SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS ISSUED TO PAY THE COSTS OF PROVIDING CERTAIN LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS IN SUCH DISTRICT, TO BE REPAID FROM THE PROCEEDS OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS TO BE IMPOSED UPON THE PROPERTY INCLUDED WITHIN SUCH DISTRICT; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF THE AFOREMENTIONED SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED UPON THE PROPERTY IN THE DISTRICT BENEFITTED BY THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS AND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON CONSTITUTE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE COUNTY WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY EXPENDITURE, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE COUNTY?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <p style="text-align: center;">REFERRED ISSUE 4C</p> <p>Blanket TABOR and 5.5% Waiver</p> <p>SHALL BERTHOUD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES OF ANY KIND, BE AUTHORIZED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND ALL REVENUES DERIVED BY THE DISTRICT FROM ANY LAWFUL REVENUE SOURCE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO TAXES, SERVICE CHARGES, INSPECTION CHARGES, ADMINISTRATIVE CHARGES, GRANTS, OR ANY OTHER FEE, RATE, TOLL, PENALTY, INCOME, OR CHARGE IMPOSED OR COLLECTED BY THE DISTRICT; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT IN 2001 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">LOWER BROADVIEW REFERRED ISSUE 5A</p> <p>SHALL THE LARIMER COUNTY LOWER BROADVIEW PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 19 BE CREATED AND SHALL TAXES IN THE DISTRICT BE INCREASED \$10,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 22.86 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2001 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5 1/2% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2001 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">RED FEATHER MOUNTAIN LIBRARY DISTRICT</p> |
| <p style="text-align: center;">REFERRED ISSUE 5A</p> <p>SHALL PINWOOD SPRINGS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT'S DEBT BE INCREASED \$160,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$215,000, AND SHALL THE TAXES BE INCREASED \$24,000 ANNUALLY FOR THE REPAYMENT OF SUCH DEBT AND ANY REFUNDINGS THEREOF (THE "BONDS"), ALL FOR THE PURPOSE OF ACQUIRING ADDITIONAL FIRE PROTECTION AND FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ONE MINI-PUMPER/BRUSH TRUCK AND PAYING FEES AND COSTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE BONDS, SUCH BONDS TO BE GENERAL OBLIGATIONS OF THE DISTRICT, BEAR INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 8.0%, AND MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM, AND BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY DETERMINE; AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH (i) TO INCREASE THE DISTRICT'S AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE OR AMOUNT, IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON THE BONDS WHEN DUE, AND (ii) TO COLLECT AND SPEND, AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, THE PROCEEDS OF THE BONDS AND THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH PROCEEDS AND REVENUES WITHOUT LIMITATION BY THE REVENUE OR SPENDING LIMITS OF, AND WITHOUT AFFECTING THE DISTRICT'S ABILITY TO COLLECT OR SPEND ANY OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">LARIMER COUNTY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT</p> <p style="text-align: center;">FERNDALE/GODINEZ REFERRED ISSUE 5A</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY DEBT BE INCREASED BY THE AMOUNT OF \$230,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$575,000, AND SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED \$575,000 ANNUALLY OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE AFOREMENTIONED DEBT, BY IMPOSING SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS UPON PROPERTY IN THE COUNTY'S LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 2000-1 (FERNDALE/GODINEZ SUBDIVISIONS), WHICH ASSESSMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO PREPAYMENT AT THE OPTION OF THE PROPERTY OWNER; SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS ISSUED TO PAY THE COSTS OF PROVIDING CERTAIN LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS IN SUCH DISTRICT, TO BE REPAID FROM THE PROCEEDS OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS TO BE IMPOSED UPON THE PROPERTY INCLUDED WITHIN SUCH DISTRICT; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF THE AFOREMENTIONED SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED UPON THE PROPERTY IN THE DISTRICT BENEFITTED BY THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS AND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON CONSTITUTE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE COUNTY WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY EXPENDITURE, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE COUNTY?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">REFERRED ISSUE 5A</p> <p>ESTABLISHMENT OF THE RED FEATHER MOUNTAIN LIBRARY DISTRICT, IMPOSITION OF A PROPERTY TAX WITHIN SUCH LIBRARY DISTRICT, AND AUTHORIZATION FOR RETENTION, EXPENDITURE AND COLLECTION OF REVENUE BY SUCH DISTRICT IN EXCESS OF THE LIMITATIONS SET BY SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND ARTICLE 1, TITLE 29 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES.</p> <p>SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$84,457.00 ANNUALLY WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED RED FEATHER MOUNTAIN LIBRARY DISTRICT BY IMPOSITION OF A PROPERTY TAX LEVY OF 2.5 MILLS ON ALL OF THE TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN SUCH PROPOSED LIBRARY DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE ESTABLISHMENT, OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, AND ALL OTHER EXPENDITURES OF SUCH PROPOSED LIBRARY DISTRICT; SHALL THE RED FEATHER MOUNTAIN LIBRARY DISTRICT BE ESTABLISHED; SHALL SUCH LIBRARY DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND KEEP AND EXPEND ALL REVENUES RECEIVED, INCLUDING INTEREST AND ANY EARNINGS ON THE INVESTMENT THEREOF, AND TO CONTINUE TO LEVY ALL ITS MILL LIVES IN 2000 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, ALL AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION WHICH IS COMMONLY KNOWN AS AMENDMENT ONE OR THE TABOR AMENDMENT AND, IF APPLICABLE, THEREBY EXCEED THE 5.5% TAX REVENUE GROWTH LIMITATION CONTAINED IN SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES AND ANY OTHER TAX REVENUE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN THE LAWS OF THE STATE, ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "PETITION SEEKING ESTABLISHMENT OF RED FEATHER MOUNTAIN LIBRARY DISTRICT" ON FILE AT THE OFFICE OF THE LARIMER COUNTY CLERK AND RECORDER?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <p style="text-align: center;">REFERRED ISSUE 5B</p> <p>Shall the limitations on terms of office imposed by Article XVIII, Section 11, of the Colorado Constitution, be eliminated for directors of the Pinewood Springs Fire Protection District?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p> | | |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p align="center">ESTES VALLEY PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT</p> <p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 5A</p> <p>Bond Question - Final Wording</p> <p>SHALL THE COUNTY OF LARIMER DEBT, FOR THE ESTES VALLEY PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT, BE INCREASED \$805,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$1,603,625 AND SHALL COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED \$82,240 ANNUALLY (NET OF ANY PROPERTY TAX CUT WHICH IS MANDATED BY ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AS IT CURRENTLY EXISTS OR IS HEREAFTER AMENDED) FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING, ENLARGING, IMPROVING, REMODELING, MAKING ADDITIONS TO, EQUIPPING, OR FURNISHING OF THE ESTES VALLEY PUBLIC LIBRARY BUILDING AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY FOR ESTES VALLEY PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR AMOUNT, TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS OR ANY REFUNDING BONDS (OR TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR SUCH PAYMENT); SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BEARING INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 8.00%; SUCH BONDS TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND WITH SUCH MATURITIES AS PERMITTED BY LAW AND AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS AND THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH PROCEEDS AND REVENUES BE COLLECTED AND SPENT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 5B</p> <p>BALLOT QUESTION TWO (DE-BRUCING):</p> <p>WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL THE PARK HOSPITAL DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES AND OTHER FUNDS COLLECTED FROM ANY AND ALL OF ITS REVENUE SOURCES, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, PROPERTY TAX REVENUES, HOSPITAL PATIENT FEES AND CHARGES, EMERGENCY ROOM CHARGES, GRANTS, AND ALL FEDERAL AND STATE PAYMENTS MADE ON BEHALF OF PATIENTS, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2001, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER AS PROVIDED BY LAW; AND SHALL THE REVENUES BE SPENT FOR GENERAL OPERATIONS PURPOSES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | <p align="center">CITY OF LOVELAND REFERRED ISSUE 2C</p> <p>QUESTION NO. 3: Authorizing the City to issue special assessment bonds.</p> <p>SHALL CITY OF LOVELAND DEBT (FOR THE HIGH PLAINS ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER SPECIAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 2) BE INCREASED BY UP TO \$5,750,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$15,750,000, FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING THE CONSTRUCTION, REPLACEMENT AND ACQUISITION OF TRAILS, SIGNAGE, DAMS, AN EDUCATION CENTER, ENVIRONMENTAL EXHIBITS, AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY TO PROVIDE FOR WETLAND RESTORATION, BY THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS PAYABLE FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED AGAINST BENEFITTED PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE DISTRICT AND FROM OTHER FUNDS WHICH MAY BE LAWFULLY PLEDGED TO THE PAYMENT OF SUCH BONDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BE ISSUED, DATED, AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HERewith, AS THE CITY COUNCIL MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND ANY EARNINGS THEREON AND FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> |
| <p align="center">POUDRE HEALTH SERVICES DISTRICT</p> | | |
| <p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 5A</p> <p>WITHOUT INCREASING ANY TAX RATES OR IMPOSING ANY NEW TAX, SHALL THE POUDRE HEALTH SERVICES DISTRICT BE PERMITTED TO COLLECT, KEEP AND SPEND ALL REVENUES RECEIVED IN 2000 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE OR OTHER LIMITATIONS PROVIDED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND, IF APPLICABLE, THEREBY EXCEED THE 5.5% TAX REVENUE GROWTH LIMITATION CONTAINED IN SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</p> <p>THIS ELECTION SHALL NOT PERMIT ANY INCREASE IN PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY OR REMOVE OR VOID ANY OF THE REMAINING RESTRICTIONS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION WHICH IS COMMONLY KNOWN AS AMENDMENT ONE OR THE TABOR AMENDMENT. THESE REMAINING RESTRICTIONS INCLUDE: (1) VOTER APPROVAL OF ALL NEW TAXES AND TAX RATE INCREASES, AND (2) VOTER APPROVAL FOR MULTIPLE YEAR DISTRICT DEBT. THIS ELECTION IS TO ALLOW THE POUDRE HEALTH SERVICES DISTRICT TO KEEP, COLLECT AND SPEND FUNDS FROM EXISTING OR FUTURE REVENUE SOURCES, INCLUDING THE EXISTING MILL LEVY.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | | |
| <p align="center">PARK HOSPITAL DISTRICT</p> | | |
| <p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 5A</p> <p>BALLOT QUESTION ONE (INCREASED MILL LEVY):</p> <p>SHALL PARK HOSPITAL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$900,000 COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2000 FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2001, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY A MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 4.66 MILLS, THE PROCEEDS OF WHICH ARE TO BE USED TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND OTHER EXPENSES TO MAINTAIN THE HIGH QUALITY OF HOSPITAL, OUTPATIENT, AND EMERGENCY SERVICES AT THE ESTES PARK MEDICAL CENTER, SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY WHICH SHALL BE IMPOSED ON TAXABLE PROPERTY OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON, BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES OF THE DISTRICT IN FISCAL YEAR 2001 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER AS MAY BE PROVIDED BY LAW, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> | | |