



Memorandum

Date: July 13, 2017
To: Mr. Rob Helmick – Larimer County Development Planning
From: Carl Brouwer, Stephanie Cecil – Northern Water
Subject: Northern Integrated Supply Project (NISP)
 Larimer County Pipelines Open House

Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District (Northern Water) held an open house at the Larimer County Courthouse on June 29, 2017 from 4:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. Notifications for this open house were sent to all property owners within 500-feet of the proposed pipeline routes. A total of approximately 850 notifications regarding NISP and the Open House were sent out on June 8. Names, addresses, and property information was received directly from Larimer County for all pipeline routes within unincorporated Larimer County.

Prior to the open house, approximately 25 landowners contacted Northern Water personnel with questions regarding the pipeline location and impact. The primary question received was whether a person’s individual property was being impacted by the project.

A total of 144 people signed in at the NISP Pipeline Open House. Most included some form of contact information. Others could have attended who did not sign in. Northern Water and Larimer County personnel were both in attendance at the open house to answer questions. Multiple handouts, posters, and large-scale maps of the pipeline areas were displayed at the open house. Northern Water received 27 comment cards from landowners at the open house. A breakdown of major areas of concern is included below:

Issue	No. of Comments*
Douglas Road Pipeline Concerns (Use Northern Route, not Douglas Road)	11
Douglas Road Traffic and Roadway Concerns	6
Northern Route Concerns (Use Douglas Road, not Northern Route)	5
Easement Width Issues	3
Safety Concerns	2
Opposed to NISP Entirely	2
Thanks for hosting open house	2
Terry Lake wetlands issues	1
Highway 14 impacts	1
Request additional NEPA Review	1

Issue	No. of Comments*
Water Quality Issues	1
Request more Public Meetings	1
Notify others, including all Terry Point residents	1

*Some cards contained multiple comments/ issues.

Overall, the comment cards generally reflected the views of the property owners at the open house. Most property owners were worried about either the impacts to their personal property or the impacts to roadways near them. The biggest point of contention for most property owners was the discussion of the Douglas Road and northern route. Since both routes were shown as options, anyone who was directly impacted by one route preferred the alternative option. A full sign-in list and comments received can be found in the attached **Exhibit A**.

Exhibit A

Northern Water NISP Pipeline Open House Larimer County Courthouse June 29, 2017

No.	Last Name	First	Phone	Address	City	State	Zip Code	Email
1	Albers	Gary						
2	Albers	Allyson						
3	Allen	Richard						
4	Anderson	Jim						
5	Armstead	Sue						
6	Armstead	Steve						
7	Asbrella	Lance						
8	Asbrella	Kimberley						
9	Bartlett	Don						
10	Baumgartner	Beth						
11	Becker	Alan						
12	Bent	Peggy						
13	Clegern	Nancy						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Our subdivision has one entrance. Many of us who live there are getting up in years. We need access for emergency vehicles at all times. Douglas Road has way too much traffic on it, especially big trucks, already.</i>					
14	Conant	Martha						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Thank you for having this open house. We strongly encourage the northern route, not Douglas Road. We would like to see Douglas Road improved-bike lanes, etc. And we seriously want a weight limit on Douglas Road. There's way too much truck traffic, especially with construction on 287. All this noise & traffic impacts our property values as well as our enjoyment of the area- and we've been living there for 40 plus years. Please consider alternate truck routes. Thank you!</i>					
15	Conant	Dale						
16	Contino	Erin						
17	Cos	Jesse						
18	Cos	Carol						
19	Cox	Betty						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Safety and noise (truck traffic) is excessive. A traffic light needs to be installed a HWY 1 and Douglas Rd. The combination of heavy trucks exceeding the 35 mph speed limit between Shields and HWY 1 is a major problem. That stretch of road passes through neighborhoods and shouldn't be treated as a speedway. There should be weight restrictions in place to stop that kind of traffic.</i>					
20	Croswell	Teresa						
21	Curtis	Doug						
22	Day	Vic						
23	Day	Christine						
24	Dye	Terence						
25	Eastman	Gary						

Exhibit A

No.	Last Name	First	Phone	Address	City	State	Zip Code	Email
26	Eastman	Jesse						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>I would like to know the timeline for construction along the Hwy 14 frontage. My business is very seasonal, and a major disruption from March-June would have a significant impact. I also need help understanding how the pump station by Mulberry/Lemay will affect flood zones/water levels, as my property is significantly impacted by flood zones.</i>					
27	Ed ??	Ed ??						
28	Feyen	John J.						
29	Feyen	John R.						
30	Fluegge	Chris						
31	Fluegge	Meg						
32	Forlenza	Patricia						
33	Gerek	Jim						
34	Giere	Molly						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Consider alternative routes from Glade Res. east to beyond I-25. Go farther north-north of ALL 4 Thornton Reservoirs (north of WSSC#3) Go farther south and parallel the new Greeley Pipeline route (south of Pourdre River through Laport) Need to do a full NEPA analysis on revised route (along Douglas Rd) as well as any other alts. considered. This needs to be made available for public comment (i.e.) this warrants a Suppl. EIS. What is your construction plan through 2 large wetlands on north end of Terry lake (southside of Douglas Rd)? HDD?</i>					
35	Glick	Scott						
36	Gorder	Rosemary						
37	Goswick	Judy						
38	Hambler	Sam						
39	Hamilton	Doug						
40	Hardy	Pat						
41	Hardy	Chris						
42	Hebbeln	Jim						
43	Heinrich	John						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>We live one house north of the proposed Northern Pipeline route through Eagle Lake. I certainly hope that the county, Thornton and NISP can align their interests and choose the southern route along Douglas Rd. If the northern route is chosen it will be over the violent objections and probable legal action from the residents of Eagle Lake who do not want to have their neighborhood disrupted to serve the interests of other cities.</i>					
44	Higgins	Kathyrn						
45	Howes	Mark						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Thank you for providing much needed info! Our home will be within 200' of line, not really opposed to said pipeline just want to know just what to look forward to.</i>					
46	Howes	Linda						
47	Hulls	Ed						

Exhibit A

No.	Last Name	First	Phone	Address	City	State	Zip Code	Email
48	Hulls	Sharla						
49	Hupp	Tim						
50	Jewett	Red						
51	Johnson	Mark						
52	Johnson	Doreen						
53	Keeton	L						
54	Keller	J. David						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Gas/Petroleum pipe lines exist & a 50' easement (25' each side) I would strongly suggest NISP consider the same easement restriction- much more agreeable with property owners than 100, 60 ETC-Being bantered about at this time (06/29/17).</i>					
55	Keller	Linda						
56	Kent	Sarah						
57	Kent	Thomas						
58	Kilkelly	Kathleen						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>I feel that this entire project is inappropriate for our semi-arid environment. We must learn to respect limited recourse and find ways to live within those constraints, i.e.: conservation before exploitation.</i>					
59	Kipperchull	Theresa						
60	Kline	Larry						
61	Kraft	Bob						
62	Kraft	Jason						
63	Kratt	Christine						
64	Kroemer	Claudia						
65	Kroemer	Rex						
66	Krygier	Maisha						
67	Lauh	Verna Jane						
68	Lewis	Neil						
69	Lewis	Jen						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Our house and front door sits 50' from Center Line Rd. Numerous utilities and an old adjudicated horizontal well run along the road and Right-of-way. Will call to make an appointment for your crew to take a look.</i>					
70	Lowe	Beal						
71	Lundgren	Betty						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Please consider that many more people will be affected by pipeline installation down Douglas. Worried about "80-100'" easement encroaching on our homes & landscaping at Captains Ct. Ingress & egress also a concern- especially for emergencies.</i>					
72	Mannon	Ken						
73	McCarty	Becca						
74	McCauley	James						

Exhibit A

No.	Last Name	First	Phone	Address	City	State	Zip Code	Email
75	McCluskey	Caroline						
76	Messana	Robert						
77	Mohr	Scott						
78	Morgan	Roger						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>The proposed northern alignment through the Lockland Park Subdivision is absolutely unacceptable. Why involve a residential neighborhood when the City of Thornton has undeveloped land within 600 feet and the pipeline would impact none of the Lockland Park residences. Extremely short sighted proposal and alienates county folks that generally support the NISP project. Thanks for your consideration.</i>					
79	Morris	Cyndi						
80	Morrison	Judy						
81	Nichols	Dave						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Douglas Rd will be developed over the next 10 years into a dense residential corridor. The Eagle Lake northern routing will both effect far fewer residence now and in the future. Once created this corridor could be used again for additional projects. Saving the county and few unknown improvement dollars at the price to so many current property owners is not a good deal. The truck traffic issue will be pulled into this process by some (us).</i>					
82	Nicol	Elisabeth						
83	Nicol	Mazgaret						
84	Nooman	John						
85	Nooman	Roberta						
86	Norby	Ron						
87	Oberg	Paul						
88	Oberle	Matt						
89	Ownby	Carol						
90	Pault	Jerry						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>The Hill HOA @ Cobb Lake - Homeowners will be impacted by pipeline and request a meeting with our HOA (60 homes) + 23 future.</i>					
91	Poulster	David						
92	Pennington	Susan						
93	Price	Hugh						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>I hope that if the Douglas Rd route is used that Northern Water, Larimer County Thornton can coordinate these projects to minimize impact on Terry Point and other neighborhoods which are totally dependent on Douglas Rd for access.</i>					
94	Price	Lilly						
95	Rock	Charlie						
96	Rock	Sara						
97	Schafer	Jim						
98	Schafer	Allyson						

Exhibit A

No.	Last Name	First	Phone	Address	City	State	Zip Code	Email
99	Schlegel	Nate						
100	Schroyer	Steve						
101	Sheaman	Kerry						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Talking to representatives here their concern is water quality east of the interstate after it runs through town. The interruption of people lives and cost of construction will be very high for a pipeline compaired to putting a water treatment plant in on the east side of I-25. The water will have to be treated anyways before human consumption. Compare this to what other states do to water and where they acquire it. It cannot be all that polluted after it runs through Fort Collins, consider this please.</i>					
102	Shelley	Sean						
103	Sherwood	Grant						
104	Sherwood	Pat						
105	Shoette	Missy						
106	Siano	Pricilla						
107	Simonetti	Robert						
108	Simonetti	Deb						
109	Sjoberg	An						
110	Skurich	Darrell						
111	Smith	Jim						
112	Spauling	Dian						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Although I live outside of the pipeline route, I feel the impact (potential) on the property of many of my friends up north. I believe in the scientific studies which discuss the large impact on our whole community in a negative way.</i>					
113	Stampfli	Wendell						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Safety is a major concern for Douglas Rd. between Shields and Highway One. Truck and general traffic is excessive! Noise is a major concern as is safety. Traffic light needs to be installed at Douglas Rd and Highway One. Numerous accidents occur. Very Dangerous for bicycle traffic huge gravel trucks should not be allowed between Shields and Highway One!</i>					
114	Stampfli	Betty						
115	Stansbury	Rod						
116	Stavedahl	Charmaine						
117	Steadman	Richard						
118	Stuntz	Chip						
119	Stuntz	Patti						
120	Sullivan	Brian						
121	Sullivan	Munigre						
122	Sunness	Eric						
123	Swenson	Karl						
124	Tate	Cynthia						
		<i>Comment:</i>	<i>Douglas Dr route is preferable-it appears this route would impact less residential land. Thank you for your consideration.</i>					

Exhibit A

No.	Last Name	First	Phone	Address	City	State	Zip Code	Email
125	Tate	Scott						
	<i>Comment: Prefer Douglas Rd plan.</i>							
126	Terry	Nancy						
127	Terry	Kristofer T						
128	Terry	George						
129	Terry	Terry						
130	Tetter	Phil						
131	Utzman-Nichols	Lynn						
	<i>Comment: I strongly encourage you to choose an alternate route rather than Douglas Rd. Douglas road is higher population density and with proposed housing projects at Douglas and Turnberry and elsewhere, it will be even more heavily traveled. Putting the pipeline there will cause major inconvenience for thousands of residents. We understand the advantages of the county saving \$ to piggy back road repairs/expansion on Douglas and we feel that it is very short sighted. Douglas is already carrying the load of unnecessary truck traffic and car traffic expanding it is basically co directly if CDOT funds are involved inviting a lot more traffic to use the road. It is a country road and not a highway and should not be used as one or upgraded to me! It is not the solution to heavier traffic up north. Cars, fine, out of state 18 wheelers and several dozen Martin Marietta trucks a day is not appropriate and highly undesirable. NO to Douglas all around!!</i>							
132	Verstraeten	George						
133	Verstraeten	Cathy						
134	Wagie	Dave						
	<i>Comment: We need to have a public meeting (multiple) as this process progresses, so we can be informed and ask questions. We thought this "open house" would include a presentation and Q & A. Many of my neighbors on Captain Ct and Point Dry did not receive the NISP letter and they are only 100'- 400' from Douglas Rd. All the folks living on Terry Point Dry, Swan Lane, and Canadian Parkway will be affected (even if more than 1000' from Douglas) because there is only ONE entrance to Douglas Rd. Send them a mailer please.</i>							
135	Wagie							
	<i>Comment: We thought this was a public meeting. It was not organized and no one made a statement. We need a public meeting to discuss this and be able to express our concerns. We have many senior citizen in our community and only one access to Douglas Rd. This needs to be put in further north where it won't effect so many people for such a long time. NOTE: Please address why many people (18 that we know of) were not notified of this open house and they were located within the 1,000 ft. What about all the people who's only access is a road that comes onto Douglas Road.</i>							
136	Weiner	Daniel						
137	Weiner	Jesse						
138	Wensman	Pat						
139	Wittreid	Ken						
	<i>Comment: Keep the pipeline north of Douglas Rd. There is less development in that area and less people would be affected. We would be worried to have such an impact in our neighborhood.</i>							

Exhibit A

No.	Last Name	First	Phone	Address	City	State	Zip Code	Email
140	Wittreid	Yvonne						
	Comment:	<p><i>The pipe should be placed as far north away from Douglas Rd. as possible. There is less density and not as many homes further north. We're afraid of it! Everytime a discussion comes up-you aim at Douglas Rd. (leave it alone!) Please do not put such a dangerous situation so close to people! Thank You!</i></p>						
141	Womack	Lucinda						
	Comment:	<p><i>Please continue to hold sessions to keep the public and landowners in the know. I have concerns about the Poudre River level continuing to lower and whether this will have impact on wildlife that depend on the ?? Corridor. I also have concerns on how much this will effect the wetland of "Riverbend Ponds", particular the migratory population. Please continue the work with county, city and State Wildlife officials in considerations of these concerns. Thank you.</i></p>						
142	Zaloudek							
	Comment:	<p><i>Our property borders Douglas Rd. Please keep us up to date on decisions as I expect it will seriously effect our home value and quality of life. We built our house 40 years ago but fear we will be forced to move to maintain the peace and quiet we chose this location for.</i></p>						
143	Zapert	Jim						
144	Zigray	Ryan						