


**OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2006
SAMPLE**



**SCOTT DOYLE
CLERK AND RECORDER**

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To vote for the candidate, completely fill in the OVAL to the LEFT of the candidate of your choice. If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, contact an election official for a replacement. Please use black ink. VOTE LIKE THIS: 

"Ballot issues referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot issues initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a 'no' vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances." C.R.S. 1-40-115(2)

FEDERAL OFFICES	STATE OFFICES	COUNTY OFFICES	JUDICIARY
REPRESENTATIVE TO THE 110th UNITED STATES CONGRESS - DISTRICT 4 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Marilyn N. Musgrave Republican <input type="radio"/> Angie Paccione Democratic <input type="radio"/> Eric Eidsness Colorado Reform	REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO - AT LARGE (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Stephen C. Ludwig Democratic <input type="radio"/> Brian Davidson Republican <input type="radio"/> Daniel "Jeffersonian" Ong Libertarian <input type="radio"/> Douglas "Dayhorse" Campbell American Constitution <input type="radio"/> Marcus C. McCarty Unaffiliated	COUNTY TREASURER (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Myrna Rodenberger Republican COUNTY ASSESSOR (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Steve Miller Republican <input type="radio"/> Barbara Liebler Democratic <input type="radio"/> Jesse P. Herron Libertarian	COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Russell E. Carparelli of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
STATE OFFICES GOVERNOR/LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (Vote for One Pair) <input type="radio"/> Bob Beauprez/Janet Rowland Republican <input type="radio"/> Bill Ritter Jr./Barbara O'Brien Democratic <input type="radio"/> Clyde J. Harkins/Tracy Davison American Constitution <input type="radio"/> Dawn Winkler-Kinateder/ Richard Randall Libertarian <input type="radio"/> Paul Noel Fiorino/ Heather Anne McKibbin Unaffiliated <input type="radio"/> _____	STATE SENATE - DISTRICT 15 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Steve Johnson Republican <input type="radio"/> Jennifer Miller Democratic STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 49 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Sue Radford Democratic <input type="radio"/> Kevin Lundberg Republican STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 51 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Jodi L. Radke Democratic <input type="radio"/> Don Marostica Republican STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 52 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> John Michael Kefalas Democratic <input type="radio"/> Bob McCluskey Republican STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 53 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Randy Fischer Democratic <input type="radio"/> Anne Yeldell Republican <input type="radio"/> Mark Brophy Libertarian <input type="radio"/> Darren Morrison American Constitution	COUNTY SHERIFF (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> James Alderden Republican COUNTY SURVEYOR (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Tom Donnelly Republican COUNTY CORONER (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Patrick C. Allen Republican CITY OF LOVELAND Ward One (Vote For No More Than One) <input type="radio"/> Daryle Klassen <input type="radio"/> Cecil A. Gutierrez	COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Janice B. Davidson of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Alan M. Loeb of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Jose D.L. Marquez of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge Robert M. Russel of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
SECRETARY OF STATE (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Ken Gordon Democratic <input type="radio"/> Mike Coffman Republican	COUNTY OFFICES COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 1 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Kathay Rennels Republican <input type="radio"/> Gerry Horak Unaffiliated COUNTY CLERK AND RECORDER (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Scott Doyle Republican <input type="radio"/> Arthur W. Trevethick Libertarian	ESTES VALLEY RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TWO DIRECTORS FOR TERMS TO EXPIRE MAY 2008 (Vote for TWO (2)) <input type="radio"/> Robert (Bob) Cheney <input type="radio"/> Frederick Day <input type="radio"/> Charles T. Hanchett <input type="radio"/> Jeffrey Konzak <input type="radio"/> Floyd J. Denton THREE DIRECTORS FOR TERMS TO EXPIRE MAY 2010 (Vote for THREE (3)) <input type="radio"/> Jack Rumley <input type="radio"/> Carolyn S. Dietz <input type="radio"/> James D. Austin	DISTRICT JUDGE - 8th JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge James H. Hiatt of the 8th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO DISTRICT JUDGE - 8th JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Vote Yes or No) Shall Judge John David ("Dave") Williams of the 8th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
STATE TREASURER (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Mark Hillman Republican <input type="radio"/> Cary Kennedy Democratic	ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Fern O'Brien Democratic <input type="radio"/> John Suthers Republican <input type="radio"/> Dwight K. Harding Libertarian	STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION - CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 4 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Tom Griggs Democratic <input type="radio"/> Bob Schaffer Republican	

JUDICIARY	STATE OF COLORADO	STATE OF COLORADO	STATE OF COLORADO
<p>COUNTY JUDGE - LARIMER (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Peter E. Schoon Jr. of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Amendment 41</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning standards of conduct by persons who are professionally involved with governmental activities, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting a public officer, member of the general assembly, local government official, or government employee from soliciting or accepting certain monetary or in-kind gifts; prohibiting a professional lobbyist from giving anything of value to a public officer, member of the general assembly, local government official, government employee, or such person's immediate family member; prohibiting a statewide elected officeholder or member of the general assembly from personally representing another person or entity for compensation before any other such officeholder or member for a period of two years following departure from office; establishing penalties for a breach of public trust or inducement of such a breach; creating a five-member independent ethics commission to hear ethics complaints, to assess penalties, and to issue advisory opinions on ethics issues; and specifying that the measure shall not apply to home rule jurisdictions that have adopted laws concerning matters covered by the measure?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Referendum F</p> <p>An amendment to section 2 of article XXI of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning elections to recall state elected officials, and, in connection therewith, providing for the deadlines regarding recall petitions and hearings to be set in statute rather than in the constitution and stating that a recall election shall be held as part of a general election if a general election will be held between fifty and ninety days after the time for filing a protest has passed and all protests have been finally decided.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Referendum J</p> <p>Shall Colorado state law require that in each state fiscal year a school district spend at least sixty-five percent of its operational expenditures on services that directly affect student achievement?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
<p>COUNTY JUDGE - LARIMER (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge C. Edward Stirman of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>			<p>Referendum K</p> <p>Shall the Colorado state attorney general initiate or join other states in a lawsuit against the United States attorney general to demand the enforcement of all existing federal immigration laws by the federal government?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
STATE OF COLORADO			
<p>Amendment 38</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning initiative and referendum petitions, and, in connection therewith, changing petition rights and procedures; allowing petitions to be submitted at all levels of Colorado government; limiting initiative ballot titles to 75 words; changing single-subject requirements and procedures; limiting the annual number of new laws that governments may exclude from possible referendum petitions; establishing standards for review of filed petitions; specifying that petitions may be voted on at any November election; limiting the use of government resources to discuss a petition; requiring voter approval for future petition laws and rules and for changes to certain voter-approved petitions; and authorizing measures to enforce the amendment?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Amendment 42</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the state minimum wage, and, in connection therewith, increasing Colorado's minimum wage to \$6.85 per hour, adjusted annually for inflation, and providing that no more than \$3.02 per hour in tip income may be used to offset the minimum wage of employees who regularly receive tips?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Referendum G</p> <p>Amendments to articles XVII, XX, and XXIV of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning the elimination of obsolete provisions of the state constitution.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	LARIMER COUNTY
<p>Amendment 39</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a requirement that in each state fiscal year a school district spend at least 65% of its operational expenditures on classroom instruction, with limited exceptions?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Amendment 43</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution, concerning marriage, and, in connection therewith, specifying that only a union of one man and one woman shall be valid or recognized as a marriage in Colorado?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Referendum H</p> <p>SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES THAT ELIMINATES A STATE INCOME TAX BENEFIT FOR A BUSINESS THAT PAYS AN UNAUTHORIZED ALIEN TO PERFORM LABOR SERVICES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, PROHIBITS CERTAIN WAGES OR REMUNERATION PAID TO AN UNAUTHORIZED ALIEN FOR LABOR SERVICES FROM BEING CLAIMED AS A DEDUCTIBLE BUSINESS EXPENSE FOR STATE INCOME TAX PURPOSES IF, AT THE TIME THE BUSINESS HIRED THE UNAUTHORIZED ALIEN, THE BUSINESS KNEW OF THE UNAUTHORIZED STATUS OF THE ALIEN UNLESS SPECIFIED EXCEPTIONS APPLY AND, TO THE EXTENT SUCH A PAYMENT WAS CLAIMED AS A DEDUCTION IN DETERMINING THE BUSINESS' FEDERAL INCOME TAX LIABILITY, REQUIRES AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO THE PROHIBITED DEDUCTION TO BE ADDED TO THE BUSINESS' FEDERAL TAXABLE INCOME FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING STATE INCOME TAX LIABILITY?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>REFERRED ISSUE 1A LARIMER COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROPERTY TAX QUESTION</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED \$18,675,000 ANNUALLY (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE IN 2007) BY THE IMPOSITION OF PROPERTY TAXES AT THE RATE OF FIVE MILLS ON ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE COUNTY WITH ALL REVENUE GENERATED BY THE FIVE MILLS TO BE USED SOLELY AND EXCLUSIVELY FOR ADDRESSING JAIL OVERCROWDING AND EARLY RELEASE OF PRISONERS AND PROVIDING AN EFFICIENT AND COST EFFECTIVE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM BY PAYING AND FINANCING THE COSTS OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, OPERATING, MAINTAINING AND EQUIPPING:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES FOR OFFENDERS WITH MENTAL HEALTH AND DRUG OR ALCOHOL ABUSE PROBLEMS. ALTERNATIVE SENTENCING AND WORK PROGRAMS AND FACILITIES FOR NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS. NO LESS THAN 290 ADDITIONAL JAIL BEDS. OTHER DETENTION AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE FACILITIES. <p>AND SHALL THE COUNTY BE AUTHORIZED TO LEVY, COLLECT AND SPEND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND EARNINGS THEREON WITHOUT LIMITATION BY ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AS AMENDED, OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
<p>Amendment 40</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning term limits for appellate court judges, and, in connection therewith, reducing the terms of office for justices of the supreme court and judges of the court of appeals to four years, requiring appellate judges serving as of January 1, 2007, to stand for retention at the next general election, if eligible for another term, prohibiting an appellate judge from serving more than three terms, specifying that a provisional term constitutes a full term, and making any appellate judge who has served ten or more years at one court level ineligible for another term at that level?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Amendment 44</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to section 18-18-406 (1) of the Colorado revised statutes making legal the possession of one ounce or less of marihuana for any person twenty-one years of age or older?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Referendum I</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes to authorize domestic partnerships, and, in connection therewith, enacting the "Colorado Domestic Partnership Benefits And Responsibilities Act" to extend to same-sex couples in a domestic partnership the benefits, protections, and responsibilities that are granted by Colorado law to spouses, providing the conditions under which a license for a domestic partnership may be issued and the criteria under which a domestic partnership may be dissolved, making provisions for implementation of the act, and providing that a domestic partnership is not a marriage, which consists of the union of one man and one woman?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	
TOWN OF JOHNSTOWN			
<p>REFERRED QUESTION 2A</p> <p>Shall the Home Rule Charter Proposed by the Home Rule Charter Commission be Adopted by the Town of Johnstown?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>			

TOWN OF BERTHOUD	TOWN OF BERTHOUD	CITY OF FORT COLLINS	TOWN OF TIMNATH
<p>REFERRED ISSUE 2B TOWN OF BERTHOUD ELECTION TO INCREASE THE MILL LEVY BY 3 MILLS TO SUPPLEMENT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT</p> <p>SHALL THE TOWN OF BERTHOUD, COLORADO TAXES BE INCREASED \$161,958 IN THE FIRST FISCAL YEAR (2007) AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED TEN (10) YEARS IN SUCH AMOUNTS AS ARE RECEIVED EACH YEAR BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED THREE (3) MILLS UPON TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY WITHIN THE TOWN, COMMENCING WITH THE TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2007, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT FOR THE PURPOSES TO SUPPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT FUNCTIONS WHICH INCLUDE FUNDING TO APPLY FOR GRANTS WHICH WOULD SUPPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS; ENHANCE DRUG AND ALCOHOL ENFORCEMENT WITH EMPHASIS IN THE SCHOOLS; INCREASED PATROLLING FOR THEFT PREVENTION AND SECURITY WITH SUCH MILL LEVY TO BE REVIEWED EVERY TWO (2) YEARS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THESE FUNDS ARE STILL NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE FOR THE PURPOSES SPECIFIED HEREIN WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>REFERRED ISSUE 2C TOWN OF BERTHOUD ELECTION TO INCREASE THE MILL LEVY BY 1.9 MILLS TO SUPPLEMENT THE BERTHOUD PUBLIC LIBRARY</p> <p>SHALL THE TOWN OF BERTHOUD, COLORADO TAXES BE INCREASED \$83,600 IN THE FIRST FISCAL YEAR (2007) AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED TEN (10) YEARS IN SUCH AMOUNTS AS ARE RECEIVED EACH YEAR BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF ONE AND NINE TENTHS (1.9) MILLS UPON TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY WITHIN THE TOWN, COMMENCING WITH THE TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2007, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF: REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE OF LIBRARY FACILITIES; RESTORING OFFSITE INTERNET ACCESS TO THE CATALOG OF LIBRARY MATERIALS; UPDATING OF COMPUTERS AND SOFTWARE; SUPPLEMENTING BOOKS, MEDIA, AND INTERNET ACCESS; EXPANDING SERVICES, HOURS AND PROGRAMS SUCH AS CHILDREN'S STORY HOUR, THE SUMMER READING PROGRAM AND TEEN TRAINING CLASSES AND ACTIVITIES; AND, OTHER GENERAL LIBRARY PURPOSES WITH SUCH MILL LEVY TO BE REVIEWED EVERY TWO (2) YEARS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THESE FUNDS ARE STILL NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE FOR THE PURPOSES SPECIFIED HEREIN WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>REFERRED ISSUE 2D A CITY-INITIATED MEASURE TO AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS FOR DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY PROJECTS</p> <p>SHALL CITY OF FORT COLLINS DEBT BE INCREASED BY NO MORE THAN \$150,000,000 WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$250,000,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING THE COSTS OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS TO BE UNDERTAKEN BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE FORT COLLINS DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO THE APPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF COLORADO LAW AND THE FORT COLLINS DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT, AS SUCH PLAN MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME; SUCH DEBT AND THE INTEREST THEREON TO BE PAYABLE SOLELY FROM AND SECURED BY A PLEDGE OF THE SPECIAL FUND OF THE CITY WHICH SHALL CONTAIN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT REVENUES LEVIED AND COLLECTED WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE AUTHORITY; AND SHALL SUCH DEBT BE EVIDENCED BY BONDS, NOTES, CONTRACTS OR OTHER FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS TO BE SOLD OVER TIME IN ONE SERIES OR MORE FOR A PRICE ABOVE OR BELOW THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT THEREOF, ON SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS, AND WITH SUCH MATURITIES AS MAY BE PERMITTED BY LAW AND AS THE CITY COUNCIL MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE DEBT PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM OF NOT MORE THAN 3% OF THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT SO REDEEMED AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS FROM SUCH DEBT AND ANY INVESTMENT INCOME EARNED FROM SUCH PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>REFERRED QUESTION 2F Approving the Timnath Home Rule Charter, as drafted by the Town of Timnath Home Rule commission</p> <p>Shall the Town of Timnath Home Rule Charter, as drafted by the Town of Timnath Home Rule Charter Commission, be approved?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p>
		<p>REFERRED ISSUE 2E A CITY-INITIATED MEASURE TO REPEAL CITIZEN-INITIATED ORDINANCE NO. 142, 1999, PERTAINING TO THE PROPOSED RELOCATION OF THE COLORADO HIGHWAY 14 TRUCK ROUTE</p> <p>Shall citizen-initiated Ordinance No. 142, 1999, which requires the City of Fort Collins to work with other affected government agencies to pursue the relocation of the Colorado Highway 14 Truck Route to a location outside the City's Growth Management Area boundaries and which also requires the City to use all funds remaining from the "Building Community Choices" Capital Improvement Program for such relocation efforts, be repealed in its entirety, so that said ordinance would be of no further force and effect and the remaining funds referenced in the ordinance, in the approximate amount of \$1.8 million, would instead be used either to further pursue the relocation of the Colorado Highway 14 Truck Route or to fund transportation capital improvement projects in the northeast quadrant of the City or for both such purposes?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>CITY OF LOVELAND</p> <p>REFERRED QUESTION 2G Amending City Of Loveland Charter Section 4-9 To Change The Ordinance Adoption Procedure Pertaining To The Publication Of Ordinances.</p> <p>Shall Section 4-9 Of The City Of Loveland Charter Be Amended To Change The Procedure For The Publication Of Ordinances By Permitting Ordinances To Be Published In The Newspaper By Title Only Instead Of Publishing The Full Text And By Adding The Requirement That The Full Text Of The Ordinance Be Posted On The City's Official Internet Website?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p>

THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J	PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3	PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3	ESTES VALLEY RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
<p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 3A</p> <p>SHALL THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J'S TAXES BE INCREASED \$6,540,000 ANNUALLY (OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY ANNUALLY DETERMINE), TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION WITH EXPENDITURES REPORTED TO THE PUBLIC ANNUALLY, WHICH PURPOSES SHALL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RESTORING 54 TEACHING POSITIONS IN ORDER TO REDUCE CLASS SIZE AND RETURN THE STUDENT-TEACHER RATIO TO THE 2005-06 LEVEL; MAINTAINING ADVANCED COLLEGE PREPARATORY CLASSES FOR QUALIFIED HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS; FUNDING UTILITY AND OPERATING COSTS OF NEW SCHOOLS AND ADDITIONS AUTHORIZED IN THE 2005 BOND; SUPPORTING LITERACY AND MATH INSTRUCTION FOR STUDENTS; PROVIDING STUDENTS WITH ADEQUATE ACCESS TO CURRENT COMPUTER COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY; AND <p>WITH SUCH TAXES TO BE RAISED IN THE 2006-2007 BUDGET YEAR AND EACH BUDGET YEAR THEREAFTER BY MILL LEVY CERTIFIED AGAINST THE ASSESSED VALUATION OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY IN THE DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT THE MILL LEVY FOR THIS TAX INCREASE IN EACH YEAR SHALL NEVER EXCEED 4.15 MILLS (ESTIMATED TO RESULT IN \$4,976,084 IN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX REVENUES IN BUDGET YEAR 2006-2007 AND \$6,540,000 IN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX REVENUES IN BUDGET YEAR 2009-2010 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER), WHICH SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO THE AMOUNT OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD BE PROVIDED BY THE GENERAL FUND MILL LEVY PERMITTED UNDER STATE LAW WITHOUT SUCH INCREASE, AND WITH SUCH ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX REVENUES TOGETHER WITH REVENUES FROM SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE THERETO AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AND TAXES TO CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES UNDER, TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT EACH YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION BY THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF, AND WITHOUT AFFECTING THE DISTRICT'S ABILITY TO COLLECT AND SPEND OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 3B</p> <p>SHALL PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3 TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$740,000 ANNUALLY FOR PURPOSES OF, AMONG OTHER THINGS,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BEING COMPETITIVE WITH OTHER SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN SALARY AND BENEFITS PAID TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY TEACHERS AND STAFF, PROVIDING FUNDING FOR ADDITIONAL COURSE OFFERINGS FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS INCLUDING DUAL HIGH SCHOOL/COLLEGE CREDIT, AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION COURSES, PROVIDING ADDITIONAL EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS FOR READING AND WRITING INTERVENTIONS, AND INCREASED SUMMER SCHOOL AND AFTER-SCHOOL OFFERINGS, AND PROVIDING INCREASED FUNDING FOR K-5 EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS, <p>BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX TO BE LEVIED AT A RATE SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED ABOVE, WHICH TAXES SHALL BE DEPOSITED INTO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT, SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO THE PROPERTY TAXES THAT OTHERWISE WOULD BE LEVIED FOR THE GENERAL FUND AND SHALL CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 3C</p> <p>SHALL PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT R-3 DEBT BE INCREASED \$22,400,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$47,000,000, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY UP TO \$2,000,000 ANNUALLY FOR THE PURPOSES OF</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IMPROVING THE SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, SPECIAL EDUCATION, AND GIFTED AND TALENTED EDUCATION SPACES AT THE MIDDLE SCHOOL, AND RENOVATE AND IMPROVE OTHER SPACE AT THE MIDDLE SCHOOL IN ORDER TO MEET CURRENT BUILDING AND SAFETY CODES, IMPROVING THE SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND ARTS EDUCATION SPACES AT THE HIGH SCHOOL, AND RENOVATE AND IMPROVE OTHER SPACE AT THE HIGH SCHOOL IN ORDER TO MEET CURRENT BUILDING AND SAFETY CODES, CREATING A SINGLE GRADES PRE-K - 5 SCHOOL BY CONSOLIDATION OF TWO EXISTING FACILITIES, THROUGH THE CONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL CLASSROOM SPACE AT THE INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL, MAKING OTHER CAMPUS SITE AND FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS TO ENABLE BETTER ACCESS TO PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, IMPROVE SCHOOL BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY, RENOVATE THE AQUATIC CENTER, AND MAKE OTHER RENOVATIONS, <p>AND, TO THE EXTENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE AFTER PROVIDING FOR THE ABOVE PURPOSES, FOR THE PURPOSE OF REPAIRING, IMPROVING AND MAKING ADDITIONS TO SCHOOL FACILITIES, BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, WHICH BONDS SHALL BEAR INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6.0% AND MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM, AND BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES, AT SUCH PRICES (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY DETERMINE; SHALL PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE AND IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF; AND SHALL ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND BONDS (REGARDLESS OF AMOUNT) CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">REFERRED QUESTION 5A</p> <p>Shall the Estes Valley Rural Fire Protection District be formed and organized in accordance with its approved Service Plan?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> <p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 5B</p> <p>SHALL ESTES VALLEY RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$432,558 COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2006 FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2007, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL DOLLAR AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY A MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 4.25 MILLS LEVIED UPON ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, THE PROCEEDS OF WHICH WILL BE USED TO DEFRAY THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p> <p align="center">FORT COLLINS REGIONAL LIBRARY DISTRICT</p> <p align="center">REFERRED ISSUE 5C ESTABLISHMENT OF THE FORT COLLINS REGIONAL LIBRARY DISTRICT</p> <p>SHALL THE FORT COLLINS REGIONAL LIBRARY DISTRICT BE ESTABLISHED AND ITS TAXES BE SET AT \$5,779,377 ANNUALLY FOR COLLECTION IN 2007 AND BY SUCH ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IMPOSED AT A RATE OF 3 MILLS (WHICH IN 2006 IS APPROXIMATELY \$3.98 PER MONTH ON A \$200,000.00 HOME) TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE LIBRARY DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND TO PROVIDE SERVICES SUCH AS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MAINTAINING, IMPROVING AND EXPANDING LIBRARY SERVICES AND FACILITIES INCREASING HOURS OF OPERATION AT MAIN AND HARMONY LIBRARIES OPENING AND OPERATING A PROPOSED NEW SOUTHEAST BRANCH LIBRARY PROVIDING ENHANCED LIBRARY SERVICES AND OPERATIONS SUCH AS RE-ESTABLISHING AND ADDING NEW BOOK DROPS IN FORT COLLINS AND THE SURROUNDING AREA, BUYING NEW BOOKS AND MEDIA AND IMPROVING PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN, TEENS AND ADULTS; <p>AND, SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE ADDITIONAL REVENUE ALONG WITH ALL OTHER REVENUES, NOTWITHSTANDING ANY LIMITATION OR RESTRICTION ON REVENUE OR SPENDING NOW CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 29-1-103 ET SEQ., C.R.S. OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>

LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
<p align="center">BRUNS #35 REFERRED ISSUE 5D</p> <p>SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$85,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED BRUNS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 35 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 104.222 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL THE BRUNS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 35 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">CROWN POINT #27 REFERRED ISSUE 5E</p> <p>SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$30,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED CROWN POINT PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 27 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 56.844 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL THE CROWN POINT PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 27 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">BONNELL WEST #36 REFERRED ISSUE 5F</p> <p>SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$60,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED BONNELL WEST PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 36 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 13.580 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL THE BONNELL WEST PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 36 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">CHARLES HEIGHTS #32 REFERRED ISSUE 5G</p> <p>SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$10,399 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED CHARLES HEIGHTS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 32 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 8.948 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL THE CHARLES HEIGHTS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 32 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>

LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	LARIMER COUNTY PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	LARIMER COUNTY GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	LARIMER COUNTY GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
<p align="center">VINE DRIVE #29 REFERRED ISSUE 5H OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MILL LEVY</p> <p>SHALL THE VINE DRIVE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #29 TAXES BE INCREASED TO \$12,000 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY ANNUALLY DETERMINE IS NECESSARY TO PAY DISTRICT OPERATIONAL COSTS AND TO PAY THE COSTS OF CONSTRUCTION, INSTALLATION AND CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF ROADS WITHIN THE DISTRICT, SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2007 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">VINE DRIVE #29 REFERRED ISSUE 5I DEBT QUESTION</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY VINE DRIVE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #29 DEBT BE INCREASED \$285,000 WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$520,000; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$34,516 ANNUALLY, OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF INSTRUMENTS ISSUED FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING ALL OR ANY PART OF THE COSTS OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, RELOCATING, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING, WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT, STREET IMPROVEMENTS, AND ANY INCIDENTAL AND APPURTENANT IMPROVEMENTS TO SAID DISTRICT FACILITIES, SUCH DEBT TO BEAR INTEREST AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT IN EXCESS OF 8% PER ANNUM, SUCH INTEREST TO BE PAYABLE AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND WHICH MAY COMPOUND AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE DISTRICT, SUCH DEBT TO BE INCURRED AT ONE TIME OR FROM TIME TO TIME, TO BE PAID FROM ANY LEGALLY AVAILABLE MONEYS OF THE DISTRICT, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE PROCEEDS OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES FROM A MILL LEVY IMPOSED ON ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY OF THE DISTRICT, WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE SET FORTH ABOVE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, TO BE USED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON THE DISTRICT'S DEBT; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF ANY SUCH DEBT AND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, ANY OTHER REVENUE USED TO PAY SUCH DEBT, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON, BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">ARAPAHOE PINES #1991-1 REFERRED ISSUE 5J MILL LEVY INCREASE</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY ARAPAHOE PINES GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1991-1 TAXES BE INCREASED \$14,256 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS BY THE IMPOSITION OF 40.204 MILLS UPON TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2007, AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS IN THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5.5% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">NAMAQUA HILLS #8 REFERRED ISSUE 5L MILL LEVY INCREASE</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY NAMAQUA HILLS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 8 TAXES BE INCREASED \$28,500 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS BY THE IMPOSITION OF 8.382 MILLS UPON TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2007, AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS IN THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5.5% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2007 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
		<p align="center">ARAPAHOE PINES #1991-1 REFERRED ISSUE 5K REVENUE RETENTION</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY ARAPAHOE PINES GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1991-1 RETAIN AS AN APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE \$250 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005 AND \$14,000 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006 INSTEAD OF REFUNDING SAID AMOUNTS AS REQUIRED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p align="center">NAMAQUA HILLS #8 REFERRED ISSUE 5M REVENUE RETENTION</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY NAMAQUA HILLS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 8 RETAIN AS AN APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE \$2250 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005 AND \$15,000 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006 INSTEAD OF REFUNDING SAID AMOUNTS AS REQUIRED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>